

816/vco
07.9.24

C-2429/24
10/9/24

Date-06/09/2024

To,
The Vice Chancellor,
Dr. RML National Law University,
Lucknow.

Sub.:- Minutes of the meeting of Debate & Discussion Committee.

Sir,

This is to bring to your kind notice that a meeting of the Debate & Discussion Committee was held on 06th September, 2024 in pursuance of reimbursement policy of Debate & Discussion Committee.

The minutes of the meeting and recommendations are submitted for your kind perusal.

Thanking you.

(Dr. Rajneesh Kumar Yadav)
Chairperson,
Debate & Discussion Committee

Chairperson
Debate & Discussion Committee
RML National Law University
Lucknow, U.P., India-226012

7/9/24
Prof. Amar Pal Singh
Vice Chancellor
Dr. Ram Manohar Lohiya National
Law University, Lucknow
Chairperson, DDC

A.R.

To upload on University
website

8-9/9-24
10/09/2024

Mr. Sandeep
Please & usual scanned
Copies.
Ming. Lohy
10.9.2024

Minutes of the meeting

A meeting of the Debate & Discussion Committee was convened on 06th September, 2024 in the no.-F-12 (DDC Room) Academic Block of the University at 01:00 P.M.

At the outset, the Chairperson welcomed all the members. The following members were present in the meeting:

1. Dr. Rajneesh Kumar Yadav - Chairman, Debate & Discussion Committee
2. Dr. Aman Deep Singh - Coordinator, Debate & Discussion Committee
3. Dr. Isha Yadav - Member, Debate & Discussion Committee

Dr. Abdullah Nasir could not attend the meeting for personal reasons.

Agenda:

Discussing about reimbursement policy of Debate & Discussion Committee.

Resolved:

1. The faculty members of DDC and office bearers' (students' member) of the Committee discussed about the debate allotment, open challenges, and reimbursement policy for the academic year 2024-2025 and finalized.
2. The updated document is being forwarded to the Vice-chancellor for kind perusal and approval for uploading the university website.

The meeting ended with thanks to the chair.



(Dr. Aman Deep Singh)

Coordinator, Debate & Discussion Committee



(Dr. Isha Yadav)

Member, Debate & Discussion Committee



(Dr. Rajneesh Kumar Yadav)

Chairperson, Debate & Discussion Committee



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**

DDC

Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

To:

The Vice Chancellor

Dr. Ram Manohar Lohiya National Law University, Lucknow

Through:

The Chairperson

Debate and Discussion Committee

Dr. Ram Manohar Lohiya National Law University, Lucknow

**SUBJECT : Debate Allotment, Open Challenge and Reimbursement Policy for the
Academic Year 2024-2025**

Forwarded.

[Signature]
06/09/2024

Chairperson
Debate & Discussion Committee
RML National Law University
Lucknow, U.P., India-226012



DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW



Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

DEBATE ALLOTMENT AND REIMBURSEMENT POLICY

1. SHORT TITLE, EXTENT AND COMMENCEMENT

- 1.1 This policy extends to all undergraduate students of Dr. Ram Manohar Lohiya National Law University, Lucknow, for the Academic Year 2024-25.
- 1.2 This policy is subject to any revisions on the part of the Debate and Discussion Committee.
- 1.3 In case of disputes or any conflicts, final say remains with the Panel of faculty, who shall act in consultation with Debate and Discussion committee convenors.
- 1.4 Students willing to participate in both international and national level university debate competitions shall strictly adhere to all the rules provided in the policy. **Non-compliance with the policy shall lead to subsequent disqualification to file for reimbursement for the said debate.**

It is the responsibility of the debater/adjudicator to communicate to the DDC about the tournament that they are going for, for which, the reimbursement will be subject to DDC's approval.

2. DEFINITIONS

2.1. DDC

The "DDC" refers to the Debate and Discussion Committee of RMLNLU.

2.2. OC

An "OC" here refers to an Open Challenge. Open Challenges in debating occurs when there is an insufficient number of available slots to accommodate all participants or when otherwise intimated in the release of the claim.



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**

DDC

Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

2.3. GRAND INTRA

The “Grand Intra” refers to the Grand Intra or Summer Grand Intra, an Intra-University debate competition conducted by the DDC. The format followed for the Grand Intra, the debating format of an Asian Parliamentary Debate, is subject changes at the discretion of the DDC.

2.4. SPAR

SPAR is short for Spontaneous Argumentation debates. Here, it refers to a simulation of an actual parliamentary debate. For the rules of an Asian Parliamentary Debate and a British Parliamentary Debate, refer to the latest editions of the UADC and WUDC manuals, respectively.

2.5. DEBSOC

DebSoc here refers to the Debating Society of RMLNLU.

2.6. INROUNDS

The preliminary rounds of a debate whose results determine what terms and adjudicators reach the out-rounds are called in-rounds.

2.7. OUTROUNDS

The terms and adjudicators that clear the preliminary in-rounds and are deemed to qualify to break/reach the out-rounds. Out-rounds usually begin in quarterfinals, but that is also subject to the break size and criteria of individual tournaments. (For, e.g., Tournaments with a large number of teams and adjudicators in order to make more individuals break keep octo-finals before quarterfinals. Similarly, smaller-sized tournaments sometimes break teams directly to semi-finals.)

2.8. DIFFERENT CATEGORIES OF COMPETITIONS



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**

DDC

Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

- 2.8.1. **“Reimbursement Category I Competition”** entails an International University Level Debate Competition in which the team/adjudicator clears the Open Challenge.
- 2.8.2. **“Reimbursement Category II Competition”** entails a National University Level Debate Competition by virtue of the team’s/adjudicator’s performance in the Grand Intra.
- 2.8.3. **“Reimbursement Category III Competition”** entails the team/adjudicator, who have either used up their slots or have not participated in the Summer Grand Intra (under Category II) but have broken/qualified for the out-rounds at a National Level University Debate Competition.

2.9. FULL REIMBURSEMENT

“Full Reimbursement” is hereby defined as:-

- a. For national tournaments, divided into Category II competitions: Teams/adjudicators who are successful in qualifying for the National Level Debate Competitions listed as Category II competitions are entitled to the reimbursement of the following: i. Hundred per cent (100%) of the travel fare (3rd A.C. fare), ii. Registration fees in full; and Category III Competitions: Teams/adjudicators who have not participated in the Summer Grand Intra, or have exhausted their allotted reimbursement slots, and break into the out-rounds of a claimed National debate, are entitled to one (1) make-and-break slot for the reimbursement of the following for the debate: i. Fifty per cent (50%) of the travel fare (3rd AC fare), ii. Fifty per cent (50%) of the registration fees.
- b. For International tournaments, henceforth referred to as Category I Competitions: Teams/adjudicators who are successful in qualifying for the International University Level Debate Competitions listed as Category I competitions stated in Schedule I shall be entitled to reimbursement of the following for subsequent international rounds: i. Fifty per cent (50%) of the travel fare, ii. Registration fees in full. In case the team/adjudicator is the Winner/Runner-up of the international debate they were allotted, they will be eligible for 100% travel reimbursement.



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**

DDC

Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

3. AMENDMENT CLAUSE

The DDC reserves all the rights to amend the policy from time to time and the same shall be subject to the approval of the Chairman of the DDC. Any such amendment, as and when made, shall be notified to the Student body.

4. DEBATE CLAIMS

4.1.1. A person shall claim a debate through their Grand Intra slots, which are given according to the team rankings in that edition of the Grand Intra.

4.1.2. All teams that break into the out-rounds of Grand Intra shall be given two (2) full reimbursement slots, which can be used to claim debates till the Grand Intra of the following year. The same shall apply to all breaking adjudicators.

4.1.3. All the participants reaching the Finals of the Grand Intra will be entitled to three (3) full reimbursement slots, which can be used to claim debates till the Grand Intra of the following year.

4.1.4. The Grand Intra shall have a team cap of **24 teams**, and an adjudicator cap of **40 adjudicators**. All the speakers/adjudicators within their respective Grand Intra cap shall have one (1) fully reimbursed slot, which can be used to claim debates till the Grand Intra of the following year.

4.1.5. Non-participants of the Summer Grand Intra can claim a maximum of one (1) debate through the make-and-break rule, which stipulates that in case a team/adjudicator hasn't participated in the Grand Intra but wishes to go for a National Debate and subsequently ends up breaking into the out-rounds in the debate, they shall receive the reimbursement of travel and registration fees



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**

DDC

Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

according to stipulation made under Clause 5.3. (Reimbursement-Category III Competition.)

- 4.1.6. A person can claim any number of debates throughout the prescribed time period; however, the above rules shall apply in terms of reimbursement.
- 4.1.7. No person shall file reimbursement for more debates than the rules authorized. Any attempt made against this rule shall subject the person to being debarred from reimbursed debates for the successive academic year, which shall be subject to the discretion of the DDC.
- 4.1.8. No reimbursement would be provided for a participant against whom **an equity proceeding in a tournament has been initiated and they have been proven guilty** in respect of the same. A stay on their reimbursement would be imposed while the proceeding is ongoing, and the restoration for the eligibility to claim reimbursement would be contingent strictly on them being acquitted by the Equity Committee of the tournament in question. Further, on conviction, they may be denied reimbursement for any other remaining slot for the remainder of the session after an inquiry by the DDC.

4.2. Procedure for claiming a debate:

1. The Debate and Discussion Committee shall put up claims for a debate regularly throughout the academic year.
2. If a person wishes to claim a debate, they shall send a mail to the official **DDC Email ID (ddc.rmlulu@gmail.com)** before the expiry of the prescribed deadline, which must include the following information:
 - a) **Name of participants**
 - b) **Team ranking /Adjudicator rank as per the Summer Grand Intra**



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**

DDC

Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

- c) Phone number of all the participants**
- d) Name of the Competition/ Debate that is being claimed**

3. A confirmation mail shall be sent to the participating team/adjudicator if the claim has been confirmed, with details of the tournament as well as the point of contact ("POC") allotted for the same. It is strongly recommended that interested participants send their claims before the stipulated deadline.

4.3. Point of Contact system ("POC"):

1. A POC from the DDC shall be appointed once a claim is allotted for a particular debate. They shall be the sole contact point for all details regarding in debate competition.
2. The name and contact number of the POC shall be provided in the Judge Scoring Guideticipating teams.
3. The POC will act as a facilitator for the registration, and their work will include providing the registration details and the organizers' contact details.
4. However, the POC will **neither** be duty-bound to register on behalf of the team/adjudicators **nor** be responsible for any delay or incompetence on the part of the participants.
5. The registration and reimbursement form must be filled in by the participants themselves and shall not be the responsibility of the POC.

4.4. Procedure to be followed after claiming a debate:

1. A prerequisite for participating in a debate that one has claimed is to mandatorily attend 3 DebSoc sessions from the date of the claim to the date of



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**

DDC

Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

the competition, subject to these being conducted. Failure to do the same shall result in disqualification from filing for reimbursement for the said debate.

2. All further formalities, such as filing of registration, payment of fees etc., shall be the responsibility of the team in their personal capacity. However, they can take the help of any DDC committee member in case they require it.
3. Further, a POC shall be appointed who will oversee and assist the team in registration and accommodation.

4.5. Clarifications:

1. A person participating as an adjudicator in the Summer Grand Intra can claim a debate as a part of a team, and a person participating as a speaker in the Summer Grand Intra can claim a debate as an adjudicator.
2. If there are limited slots for a competition, the Grand Intra Participants with the higher rank will claim the slot, meaning that Grand Intra ranks will be the final decider in slot preference. If a person did not participate in the Grand Intra and applies for a team/adjudicator slot, their rank will automatically be equivalent to $(N+1)$ where $N =$ Number of participants that participated as speakers/adjudicators in the Grand Intra.
3. If participants who were part of different teams in the Summer Grand Intra team up together and claim a single slot, **the arithmetic average of the team's ranks in the Grand Intra will be calculated.** Whichever team then, after such averages being taken out, has the higher rank would have the first claim to that slot.



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**

DDC

Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

[For Example- “A”, whose team rank in the Grand Intra was 1, teams up with “B” and “C”, whose Grand Intra team ranks were 5 and 6, respectively, for an Asian Parliamentary Debate. The rank for a slot for this team shall be calculated as: $(1+5+6)/3=4$.] If a member of one of the teams was not a participant in the Grand Intra, their rank would automatically be equivalent to $(N+1)$, where N = Number of teams/adjudicators that participated in the Grand Intra.

[‘GI rank’ specifically means the rank you obtained during the in-rounds (preliminary rounds) of the Summer Grand Intra. This rank is independent of any outcomes in the out-rounds.]

4. If two Adjudicators apply to claim a single slot, their rank in the Grand Intra will be calculated. Whichever Adjudicator would have a higher rank would have the first claim to that slot.
5. If two Grand Intra Participants have equivalent ranks in the speaker/adjudicator roles, then the slot will be claimed by whatever position is being applied for, that means that if it is an adjudicator slot, it will be given to the Grand Intra participant who was an adjudicator and vice versa for speaker slots.

4.6. Repercussions

1. If a person wishes to forfeit their claim to a debate, they shall do so **within 48 hours** of receiving the confirmation mail.
2. If a person forfeits their claim after 48 hours, they shall be issued a show-cause notice to which they must reply to in 48 hours.



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**

DDC

Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

3. If the explanation is not deemed sufficient by the DDC, it may take steps including but not limited to cancelling reimbursement for this disputed tournament, debarment for the rest of the academic year and debarment from future Grand Intras.
4. Any dispute regarding the same shall be subject to the discretion of the DDC.
5. Any steps taken by the DDC shall be final and binding on the disputing party.

5. DEBATE REIMBURSEMENT

5.1. Reimbursement - Category I Competition:

Teams/adjudicators who are successful in qualifying for the International University Level Debate Competitions listed as Category I competitions stated in Schedule I shall be entitled to reimbursement of the following for subsequent international rounds:

- i. **Fifty per cent (50%)** of the travel fare;
- ii. Registration fees in **full**;

Eligibility Criteria:

Teams/adjudicators who cleared the Open Challenge (OC). The procedure for the OC is listed in Schedule-I.

At the time of filing reimbursement, the team/adjudicator should have qualified/broken at five (5) university-level debate competitions in the last six (6) months.

In case the team/adjudicator is the **Winner/Runner-up** of the international debate they were allotted, they will be eligible for **100%** travel reimbursement.

Note - This is independent of reimbursement allocated under Categories II and III. The reimbursement for International Debates and National Debates are separate heads.



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**

DDC

Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

List of University-level Debate Competitions under Category- I.

1. *World Universities Debating Championship (WUDC) (British Parliamentary Debate)*
2. *Asian British Parliamentary Debate (ABP)*
3. *University Asian Debating Championship (UADC) (Asian Parliamentary Debate)*
4. *Australasian Intervarsity Debating Championship (Australis)*
5. *World Wxmen and Gender Minority Debate Open*
6. *North American Universities Debating Championship (BPD)*
7. *Oxford InterVarsity (BPD)*
8. *Manchester InterVarsity (BPD)*
9. *Yale InterVarsity (BPD)*
10. *Oxford IC (BPD)*
11. *Shanghai International Debate Open (SIDO) (BPD)*
12. *European University Debate Championships (EUDC)*

5.2. Reimbursement- Category II competition:

Teams/adjudicators who are successful in qualifying for the National Level Debate Competitions listed as Category II competitions are entitled to the reimbursement of the following:

- i. **Hundred per cent (100%)** of the travel fare (3rd A.C. fare);
- ii. Registration fees in **full**;

Eligibility Criteria

Participants of the Grand Intra within the team and adjudicator cap are eligible for **one (1) offline reimbursement slot.**

Speakers/adjudicators, who broke into the out-rounds of Summer Grand Intra, are eligible for **two (2) reimbursement slots.**



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**

DDC

Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

Speakers/adjudicators reaching the finals of Summer Grand Intra are eligible for **three (3) reimbursement slots.**

5.3. Reimbursement - Category III Competition:

Teams/adjudicators who have not participated in the Summer Grand Intra, and break into the out-rounds of a claimed National debate, are entitled to **one (1) make-and-break slot** for the reimbursement of the following for the debate:

- i. **Fifty per cent (50%)** of the travel fare (3rd AC fare);
- ii. **Fifty per cent (50%)** of the registration fees;

Eligibility Criteria

The student must be enrolled in the undergraduate programme at Dr. Ram Manohar Lohiya National Law University, Lucknow.

The student must not have participated in the Summer Grand Intra or have used up all of their reimbursed slots.

6. TRAVEL GUIDELINES AND AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS

1. All travel reimbursement claims will be granted only if they meet the guidelines set by the administration of Dr. Ram Manohar Lohiya National Law University.
2. For Category II and Category III competitions, the mode of transport should be 3rd AC Trains, and the journey should be from Lucknow (i.e. the destination of origin should be Lucknow) and back to Lucknow (the return journey should end at Lucknow).



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**

DDC

Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

3. For Category I competitions, the mode of transport must take you from Lucknow and back to Lucknow.

4. All the funding/ reimbursement of Category II and III competitions shall be subject to the availability of the funds with the Debate and Discussion Committee.



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**

DDC

Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

SCHEDULE-I

OPEN CHALLENGES PROCEDURE

1. PROCEDURE FOR OCS FOR TEAMS:

1.1. Teams participating in an OC will compete against each other in a spar. The winning team(s) will be allotted a slot in the contested tournament based on the number of available institutional slots.

1.2. The spar will be judged by the adjudicator(s) allotted by the DDC. The adjudicator(s) will be experienced members of the DebSoc as the DDC deems fit.

1.3. In case of unavailability within the University, the DDC may also allot an external adjudicator as it deems fit.

1.4. Post assignment of the adjudicator, the teams will have an opportunity to present any reasonable clashes to the DDC. The DDC reserves the right to accept or reject the clash and may reappoint the adjudicator as it deems fit upon appraisal.

1.5. In the case of a claim for an Asian Parliamentary Debate where the number of teams are odd, a swing team will be provided by the DDC as it deems fit.

1.6. In the case of a claim for a British Parliamentary Debate where the number of teams are not enough for a full British Parliamentary Debate, the DDC will have top-half debates or provide swing team(s), as they deem fit in the situation.

1.7. The decision of the Adjudicator(s) shall be final and binding.



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**

DDC

Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

2. PROCEDURE FOR OCS FOR ADJUDICATORS:

2.1. In case of a claim for an Asian Parliamentary Debate:

2.1.1. Teams will be provided for an Asian Parliamentary spar by the DDC as they deem fit.

2.1.2. The panel will be Chaired by a competent Adjudicator assigned by the DDC as they deem fit.

2.1.3. Every Judge participating in the OC will give an Oral Adjudication at the end of the round as per the Asian Parliamentary Debate convention.

2.1.4. The teams will score the Oral Adjudication of the judges participating in the OC as per the UADC Judge Scoring Guide.

2.1.5. The Chair of the room will score the judges participating in the OC as per the UADC Judge Scoring Guide.

2.2. For a British Parliamentary Debate:

2.2.1. Teams will be provided for a British Parliamentary spar by the DDC as they deem fit.

2.2.2. The Adjudicators participating in the OC will be panellists Chaired by a competent Adjudicator assigned by the DDC as they deem fit.

2.2.3. After the debate ends, deliberation will happen as normal in the British Parliamentary Format, and the Chair will then score the Panellists as per the WUDC Judge Scoring Guide.



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**

DDC

Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

- 2.3. Post assignment of the Chairs in both cases, the Panellists will have an opportunity to present any reasonable clashes to the DDC. The DDC reserves the right to accept or reject this claim and may reappoint the Chair as it deems fit upon appraisal.
- 2.4. A judge test will also have to be taken by the Adjudicators participating for both the Asian Parliamentary and British Parliamentary formats.
- 2.4.1. The test will be given as a Google Form with short, objective-type questions.
- 2.4.2. The test will have to be given in person in the presence of an appointed member of the DDC. No reference to external sources will be allowed during the test.
- 2.4.3. It will be set and evaluated by the DDC as it deems fit.
- 2.5. All the scores attained by the Adjudicators participating in the OC (Those mentioned in clauses 2.1.4, 2.1.5, 2.2.3, 2.4. as applicable) will be taken into consideration, and the Adjudicator(s) with the highest arithmetic average will be eligible for the available slot(s).
- 2.6. In case of a tie, the DDC has the discretion to handle the situation as they deem fit.

Yours truly,

Anushikha Pokhriyal and Debjyoti Samaddar

Jt. Convenors, The Debate and Discussion Committee



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**

DDC

Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

DEBATE REIMBURSEMENT FORM

Name of the Competition-

.....

Allotted through (Please circle)- Grand Intra/Open Challenge/ Litigium

Participated as (Please circle): Team/Adjudicator

Name:

Semester, year:

Mobile No:

Details of Travel:

Departure:	
From:	Mode of Travel:
To:	P.N.R. of Ticket(s)



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**



Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

Arrival:	
From:	Mode of Travel:
To:	P.N.R. of Ticket(s)

Details of Travel:

Registration Amount Paid (In Rs.):	
Mode of Payment:	Transaction ID (if any)

Account details for reimbursement:

Account Number:	Name of Account Holder:
Bank/Branch:	IFSC Code:

Attachments for office use:

1. Tickets of all Participants (Yes/No)
2. Receipt of Registration Money (Yes/No)
3. Participation Certificates of all Participants (Yes/No)



**DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL
LAW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW**



Debate & Discussion Committee (D.D.C.)

Amount to be reimbursed:

Actual Expenditure on Travel	Corresponding 3AC Fare
Registration Amount	Total Amount Reimbursed

(Signature of Claimant)

(Recommendation of Convenor/Treasurer, D.D.C)